## Coca-Cola and Pepsi

## The Shifting Landscape of the Carbonated Soft Drink Industry

## Introduction

Following 100 years of growth, the carbonated soft drink i叉dustry (CSD) was a $\$ 36$ billion market (see Exhibit 1), and Coca-Cola and Pepsi had the topelling soft drinks in the world. In 2015, sales of Pepsi and Coca-Cola products madesup $7 \otimes .8$ percent of sales in the U.S. CSD industry (see Exhibit 1).

The year 2016 marked the $124^{\text {th }}$ afniversary of Coca-Cola. After ten consecutive years as the world's most valuable brand, raca-cola slipped for the last five years to number three. ${ }^{1}$ Coca-Cola products were sold in Morethan 200 countries. It had the world's largest beverage distribution system and owned ordicensed more than 500 beverage brands. The company estimated that more than 1,8 billion servings of beverages bearing trademarks that it owned or licensed were consumed worldwide every day. Coca-Cola's 140,000 employees worked to generate nearly $\$ 42$ billion igrevenue in $2016^{2}$ and the company had paid an increasing dividend to shareholders fonthe past 49 consecutive years. ${ }^{3}$

Although $t$ hecoca-Cola brand was valued at $\$ 70$ billion and the Pepsi brand was valued at only $\$ 14$ Billion, ${ }^{4}$ PepsiCo was the second-largest food and beverage company in the world. It owned ordicensed 19 brands that each generated at least $\$ 1$ billion in annual revenues. Its top brand, Pepsi-Cola reached over 2 billion in sales in 2016 through retail channels alone. The company's total 2016 revenues were more than $\$ 62$ billion (see Exhibit 3). ${ }^{5}$ Pepsi employed more than 285,000 people and was listed on both the Forbes and Fast Company lists of the Norld's Most Innovative Companies in 2011. (See Exhibit 3 for each company's historical revenues.)

But the picture was not as rosy as it might appear. The carbonated soft drink market was no longer growing as it had a few decades earlier, during the 1970s and 1980s. In fact, the CSD market was in decline. Sales of carbonated soft drinks in the largest market, the United States, had been weakening since the turn of the century, declining over 8 percent between 2000 and 2010, with forecasts of continued weak sales. ${ }^{6}$ After a small bump in sales in 2011, the industry continued its slow downward slide. ${ }^{7}$ This trend continued through 2016, even in the diet soft drink segment of the market, a segment that Coke and Pepsi both thought would be more impervious to changing consumer tastes. From 2012 to 2013, regular soft drink sales dropped 2.2 percent, continuing a trend begun in 2000, while diet drinks plunged 6.8 percent, shaking the confidence of executives in both firms. ${ }^{8}$ Entering 2017, Coca-Cola and Pepsi both faced important questions of how to continue their success despite the changes they were facing in the CSD industry.
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EXHIBIT 1 Selected U.S. CSD Company \& Brand Market Share Figures (At Current Prices, in Millions)*
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| Selected CSD brands |
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| Mountain Dew |
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| Dr. Pepper |
| Sprite |
| Diet Dr. Pepper |
| Diet Mountain Dew |
| Coke Zero |
| Caffeine Free Diet Coke |
| 7 UP |
| Sunkist |
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| Sierra Mist |
| Fanta |
| Diet 7 UP |
|  |
|  |
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## Current Industry Dynamics

The carbonated soft drink industry encompasses carbonated, nonalcoholic beverages, including colas and noncola drinks, such as root beer, orange, lemon-lime, and other flavors. Americans consume, year in and year out, more soft drinks than any other category of drinks even with the move toward BFY (better for you) beverages.

The CSD Industry saw an annual growth of roughly 10 percent between the early 1970 s and late 1990s. ${ }^{9}$ Americans consumed an average of 23 gallons of CSD annually in 1970, and consumption grew by 3 percent per year for the next 30 years. ${ }^{10}$ By the early 2000s, however, attitudes toward soda had begun to change. Americans were down to drinking roughly 46 gallons of CSD per year, significantly less than their consumption levels in the late 1980s. Cola was still the majority of CSD consumption, but it dropped from 71 percent of all CSD in 1990 to 55 percent in 2009. ${ }^{11}$ U.S. CSD sales further fell by 0.2 percent in 2005, 0.6 percent in 2006 and 2.3 percent in 2007. ${ }^{12}$ Citing decreasing consumer spending in general and increased consumer preferences for healthier foods, industry analysts expected CSD industry revenues to decline even more, by 2 percent per year from 2011 through 2021. ${ }^{13}$

Some of the decline in sales is due to the rise of substitute products. Many substitute products are available at varying price points, including waté ${ }^{\text {, }}$ dairy, juice, coffee, tea, energy drinks, and more (see Exhibit 2a and 2b). Take Red Bull, for instance. Red Bull entered the US soft drinks market in 1997 with a niche product: a carbonated energy drink retailing at $\$ 2$ for an 8.3-ounce can-twice what you would pay for a coke or a Pepsi. The company designed its cans as narrow, tall cylinders, so retailers coưd stack them in small spaces. It started by selling Red Bull through unconventional outletssucke bars, where bartenders mixed it with alcohol, and nightclubs, where 20 -somethings, gulped down the caffeine-rich drink so they could dance all night. After gaining a loyal folloing ined Bull used the pull of high margins to elbow its way into the corner store, where ithowssits in refrigerated bins within arm's length of Coke and Pepsi. In the United States, Whereed Bull enjoyed the highest market share of the $\$ 12$ billion energy drink market in 2015 , $i t s$ sales are growing at about 35 percent a year. Red Bull is privately held, but all thee signs suggest that it's profitable.

Although substitutes have taken a large bite out of Coca-Cola and Pepsi's profits, companies trying to directly enter the CSD market haven't been as successful. For instance, in 1998, Virgin Brinks launched its own cola, advertising heavily and trying to get into all the retail outletsthat stocked the leading brands. At Virgin Cola's US launch, Virgin Group CEO Richard Branson drove a tank through a wall of cans in New York's Times Square to symbolize the wathe wished to wage on Coca-Cola and Pepsi. However, the leaders' viselike grip on shelfspace in grocery stores and other retail outlets proved impossible for Virgin Drinks to break. In July 2000, the company's marketing vice president admitted to a trade publication that "There are people who are saying, 'We've been looking for years, and we can't find it [Virgin Cola]." Virgin Drinks was never able to garner more than a 1 percent share of the US cola market

For both a new entrant and an established firm such as Coca-Cola and Pepsi, the process of CSD production is similar. It essentially involves combining raw ingredients and packaging for shipment to its buyers. Participants in the process include: raw material suppliers; manufacturers of concentrates-flavor syrups not yet diluted with carbonated water-who purchase some raw materials; bottlers, who purchase concentrate and additional raw materials; and retailers. Concentrate manufacturers and bottlers are the two major players during the production process.

